

Republic of the Philippines GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD TECHNICAL SUPPORT OFFICE

ERRATUM NOTICE

FOR: ALL PROCURING ENTITIES

SUBJECT: UPDATED LINK OF THE ALTERNATIVE PLATFORM ON THE OBP REQUEST FOR REGISTRATION REFLECTED IN ADVISORY NO. 02-2023 DATED 12 OCTOBER 2023

DATE: 16 October 2023

1.0 This is to inform all Procuring Entities for the updated link of the alternative platform on the OBP request for registration as indicated in the Advisory No. 04-2023, otherwise known as "Notice on the Posting of Blacklisting Orders for Inclusion in the Consolidated Blacklisting Report in the Government Procurement Policy Board Website"¹, to wit:

PARTICULAR	FROM	ТО
OBP Request for Registration of PEs	Requests for registration may be submitted using this link: <u>OBP Registration Form</u>	Requests for registration may be submitted using this link: <u>https://bit.ly/OnlineBPortal</u>

- 2.0 Attached herewith is the copy of the updated Advisory for your information and reference.
- 3.0 We apologize for the inconvenience that this may have caused.

2023.10.16 14:46:19 +08'00'

KATRINA L. PAALA Officer-in-Charge PERFORMANCE MONITORING DIVISION - A

/pmd/jar/ 2023-0210-02

¹ Advisory 04-2023 dated 12 October 2023, which can be accessed through this link: <u>https://www.gppb.gov.ph/advisory-no-04-2023-notice-on-the-posting-of-blacklisting-orders-for-inclusion-in-the-consolidated-blacklisting-report-in-the-government-procurement-policy-boar-website/</u>



Republic of the Philippines GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD TECHNICAL SUPPORT OFFICE

ADVISORY NO. 04 - 2023

FOR: ALL PROCURING ENTITIES

NOTICE ON THE POSTING OF BLACKLISTING ORDERS FOR INCLUSION INSUBJECT:THE CONSOLIDATED BLACKLISTING REPORT IN THE GOVERNMENT
PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD WEBSITE

DATE: 12 October 2023

- 1.0 In light of the recent security incident involving our old and non-operational website and as a precautionary measure in case this is an ongoing attack, the Online Blacklisting Portal (OBP) is temporarily undergoing system downtime as improvements are being worked on.¹
- 2.0 To ensure, however, that the Procuring Entities will be able to continue to post their issued Blacklisting Orders and access the Consolidated Blacklisting Report (CBR), the Government Procurement Policy Board Technical Support Office established an alternative platform while the OBP security is being reinforced.

3.0	To properly guide our PEs	please see below details to v	view and post Blacklisting Orders:
-----	---------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------

OBP Functionality	Alternative Platform
	The PE may view the CBR in this link: https://www.gppb.gov.ph/consolidated-blacklisting-report/
Online verification on the inclusion in the CBR	It is a must that PEs continue to observe due diligence in the conduct of their post-qualification, PEs shall also check the procurement performance of their prospective bidders from past contracts or procurement engagements.
OBP Request for Registration of PEs	Requests for registration may be submitted using this link: <u>https://bit.ly/OnlineBPortal</u> However, approval may take longer than usual as the
	protocols are being enhanced.
Posting of Blacklisting Order (BO)	For new BO, please submit the BO to monitoring@gppb.gov.ph for immediate posting on the GPPB website. Please use the BO form (<i>Appendix 1 - Attached</i>) and make sure that the submitted BO is accomplished accordingly, reflecting <u>complete information</u> among others, the name of blacklisted entity, the duration of the issued BO, offense committed, name of the project/contract, location of project, Approved Budget of the Contract, and other sanctions – if any, and is approved by the Head of the Procuring Entity
	We likewise highly encourage the posting of issued BO/s on your respective agency website and official Social

¹ All users will not be able to log-in, register and upload Blacklisting Orders to automatically include the blacklisted supplier in the Consolidated Blacklisting Report (CBR) on the Government Procurement Policy Board (GPPB) Website.

/pmd/jar/ 2023-0210-02

	Media Accounts, or in their absence, posting on any conspicuous place reserved for the purpose.
Permanent/Temporary Delisting in the PEs OBP Account and CBR	The GPPB - Technical Support Office (TSO) in the exercise of its ministerial duty will manually effect delisting (permanent/temporary), and reposting of a Temporary
Reposting of a Temporary Delisted Entity after the lapse of the duration indicated in the Order issued by	Delisted Entity in accordance with GPPB Resolution 14- 2020 dated 25 June 2020 on delisting and reposting.
Quasi-judicial or Judicial Body	PEs may send their request to <u>monitoring@gppb.gov.ph.</u>

- 4.0 The above-stated alternative platforms shall remain in effect until further notice.
- 5.0 For inquiries and/or clarification/s, you may contact the Performance Monitoring Division of the GPPB TSO through electronic mail at <u>monitoring@gppb.gov.ph</u> or telephone no. (02) 7900-6741 to 44 local 102, Monday to Friday, 7:00 AM 4:00 PM.
- 6.0 For the information and compliance of all concerned.

Date: 2023.10.12 16:35:06 ROWENA CANDICE M. RUIZ

Executive Director V

<PROCURING ENTITY'S LETTERHEAD>

BLACKLISTING ORDER NO. ____, series of 2020

Pursuant to [state legal basis] and upon the findings and recommendation/s of [name of committee or body which made the recommendation] in its [insert name of document], the undersigned, under [Department/Office Order / Board Resolution] dated [Date], hereby issues this Blacklisting Order to the entity/ies hereafter stated:

[Complete Registered Business Name of Blacklisted Entity] with [License number _____, if applicable] and PhilGEPS Registration No. _____ having [business/principal] address at [business or principal address of the Blacklisted Person/Entity] {Include if necessary: together with [Name of Blacklisted Person/Entity] }, is/are hereby SUSPENDED/DISQUALIFIED from participating in all government procurement –

[Select one]:

□ for [Choose: 1 year or 2 years starting from <u>[indicate start date]</u> until <u>[indicate end</u> <u>date]</u>

□ permanently/in perpetuity

2. Specific ground/offense committed:

(Please choose the applicable offense committed by the bidder/contractor)

During the Procurement Stage:			
	Submission of eligibility requirements containing false information or falsified documents.		
	Submission of Bids that contain false information or falsified documents, or the concealment of such information in the Bids in order to influence the outcome of eligibility screening or any other stage of the public bidding.		
	Allowing the use of one's name, or using the name of another for purpose of public bidding.		
	Withdrawal of a bid, or refusal to accept an award or enter into contract with the government without justifiable cause, after he had been adjudged as having submitted the Lowest Calculated Responsive Bid or Highest Rated Responsive Bid.		
	Refusal or failure to post the required performance security within the prescribed time.		
	Refusal to clarify or validate in writing its Bid during post qualification within a period of seven (7) calendar days from receipt of the request for clarification.		
	Any documented unsolicited attempt by a bidder to unduly influence the outcome of the bidding in his favor.		
	Refusal to enter into, or withdrawal from, a joint venture in the event of a contract award without justifiable reason.		
	Refusal to submit post-qualification requirements on time without justifiable reason or a finding against the veracity of such post-qualification requirements.		
	All other acts that tend to defeat the purpose of the competitive bidding, such as habitually withdrawing from bidding or submitting late bids or patently insufficient bids, for at least three (3) times within a year, except for valid reasons.		
	Withdrawal, without justifiable reason, of bid during the period of bid validity required in the bidding documents.		
	Failure or refusal, without justifiable reason, to accept the award and enter into contract or perform any and all acts necessary to the execution of the contract, in accordance with the bidding documents, after having been duly notified of the acceptance.		
During	Contract Implementation		
	Failure of the contractor, due solely to his fault or negligence, to mobilize and		

printed from the Document Management System on 12/10/2023 at 14:10 by: P101459122322.

 start work or performance within the specified period in the Notice to Proceed (NTP). Failure by the contractor to fully and faithfully comply with its contractual obligations without valid cause, or failure by the contractor to comply with any written lawful instruction of the procuring entity or its representative(s) pursuant to the implementation of the contract or any part thereof or substitution of Key personnel named in the proposal without prior written approval by the procuring entity. Unsatisfactory progress in the delivery of the goods by the manufacturer, supplier or distributor arising from his fault or negligence and/or unsatisfactory or inferior quality of goods, as may be provided in the contract. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Defective design resulting in substantial corrective works in design and/or construction. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Specifying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or just cause. Work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or p	r	
 obligations without valid cause, or failure by the contractor to comply with any withen lawful instruction of the procuring entity or its representative(s) pursuant to the implementation of the contract. Assignment and subcontracting of the contract or any part thereof or substitution of key personnel named in the proposal without prior written approval by the procuring entity. Unsatifactory progress in the delivery of the goods by the manufacturer, supplier or distributor arising from his fault or negligence and/or unsatisfactory or inferior quality of goods, as may be provided in the contract. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Detective design resulting in substantial corrective works in design and/or construction. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Superfying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor bing supervised by the consultant. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant. Poor performance by the consultant or nusatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the unsatification or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. Wilful or deliberate abandonment or non-performance of the project or contract. Wilful or deliberate abandonment or non-performanc		("NTP").
Assignment and subcontracting of the contract or any part thereof or substitution of key personnel named in the proposal without prior written approval by the procuring entity. Unsatisfactory progress in the delivery of the goods by the manufacturer, supplier or distributor arising from his fault or negligence and/or unsatisfactory or inferior quality of goods, as may be provided in the contract. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Defective design resulting in substantial corrective works in design and/or construction. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Failure to deliver critical outputs due to consultant's fault or negligence - Specifying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Specifying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of con		Failure by the contractor to fully and faithfully comply with its contractual obligations without valid cause, or failure by the contractor to comply with any written lawful instruction of the procuring entity or its representative(s) pursuant to the implementation of the contract.
 □ or distributor arising from his fault or negligence and/or unsatisfactory or inferior quality of goods, as may be provided in the contract. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Defective design resulting in substantial corrective works in design and/or construction. □ Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Failure to deliver critical outputs due to consultant's fault or negligence - Failure to deliver critical outputs due to consultant shart or negligence - Failure to deliver critical outputs due to consultant or negligence - Specifying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant. Poor performance by the consultant or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. Willful or deliberate abandonment or non-performance of the project or contract by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. The contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of t		of key personnel named in the proposal without prior written approval by the
□ negligence - Defective design resulting in substantial corrective works in design and/or construction. □ Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence. □ Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence. □ Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence. □ Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant. □ Poor performance by the consultant or nusatisfactory quality and/or progress of war arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. □ Work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. □ Work arising from his fault or non-performance of the project or contract by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. □ The contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or duading to thirfastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within		or distributor arising from his fault or negligence and/or unsatisfactory or inferior
□ negligence - Failure to deliver critical outputs due to consultant's fault or negligence. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Specifying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. Wilful or deliberate abandonment or non-performance of the project or contract by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. The contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184		negligence - Defective design resulting in substantial corrective works in design and/or construction.
 negligence - Specifying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards. Poor performance by the consultant of his services arising from his fault or negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant. Poor performance by the constator or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. Willful or deliberate abandonment or non-performance of the project or contract by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. The contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of		negligence - Failure to deliver critical outputs due to consultant's fault or negligence.
□ negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant. □ Poor performance by the constractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. □ Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. □ Willful or deliberate abandonment or non-performance of the project or contract by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. □ The contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184		negligence - Specifying materials which are inappropriate, substandard, or way above acceptable standards.
work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%. Poor performance by the contractor or unsatisfactory quality and/or progress of work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. Willful or deliberate abandonment or non-performance of the project or contract by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. The contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Opening of sealed bid prior to scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of		negligence - Allowing defective workmanship or works by the contractor being supervised by the consultant.
work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications. Willful or deliberate abandonment or non-performance of the project or contract by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. Inte contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Opening of sealed bid prior to scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Alliciously submitting dif		work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Negative slippage of 15%.
by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause. The contractor has engaged, before or during implementation of the contract, in unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Opening of sealed bid prior to scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Unduly influencing or exerting undue pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) in relation to Sec. 47 of R.A. No. 9184 - Abuse of Reservation Clause with manifest preference to relatives. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) in relation to Sec. 47 of R.A. No. 9184 - Abuse of Reservation Clause with manifest preference to relatives. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9		work arising from his fault or negligence as reflected in the CPES rating sheet - Quality of materials and workmanship not complying with the approved specifications.
□ unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts. During Warranty Period □ Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Opening of sealed bid prior to scheduled time of bid opening. □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Unduly influencing or exerting undue pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. □ Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. □ Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. □ Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. □ Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different b		by the contractor resulting to substantial breach thereof without lawful and/or just cause.
 Failure of contractor to repair works, at his own expense, of any defect or damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Opening of sealed bid prior to scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Unduly influencing or exerting undue pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. 		unlawful deeds and behaviors relative to contract acquisition and implementation as enumerated in Section III.D. of the Guidelines on Termination of Contracts.
admage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations Image to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations Image to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violations Image to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to undertake repair. Other Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Opening of sealed bid prior to scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Unduly influencing or exerting undue pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons,	During	
 Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Opening of sealed bid prior to scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Unduly influencing or exerting undue pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(a) in relation to Sec. 47 of R.A. No. 9184 - Abuse of Reservation Clause with manifest preference to relatives. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. 		damage to the infrastructure projects on account of the use of materials of inferior quality within ninety (90) days from the time the HoPE has issued an order to
 scheduled time of bid opening. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Delaying, without justifiable cause, the screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Unduly influencing or exerting undue pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(a) in relation to Sec. 47 of R.A. No. 9184 - Abuse of Reservation Clause with manifest preference to relatives. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Employment of schemes to suppress 	Other	
 screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Unduly influencing or exerting undue pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(a) in relation to Sec. 47 of R.A. No. 9184 - Abuse of Reservation Clause with manifest preference to relatives. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Employment of schemes to suppress 		scheduled time of bid opening.
 pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(a) of R.A. No. 9184 - Splitting of Contracts. Violation of Sec. 65(a) in relation to Sec. 47 of R.A. No. 9184 - Abuse of Reservation Clause with manifest preference to relatives. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Employment of schemes to suppress 		screening for eligibility, opening of bids, evaluation and post evaluation of bids, and awarding of contracts beyond the prescribed periods of action.
 Violation of Sec. 65(a) in relation to Sec. 47 of R.A. No. 9184 - Abuse of Reservation Clause with manifest preference to relatives. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Collusion among bidder. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Employment of schemes to suppress 		pressure on any member of the BAC or any officer or employee of the procuring entity to take a particular action which favors, or tends to favor a particular bidder.
Image: Constraint of the second state of the second sta		
 Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Maliciously submitting different bids through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Employment of schemes to suppress 		
 through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Agreeing to refrain from submitting, or withdrawal of bids. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Employment of schemes to suppress 		
withdrawal of bids. Violation of Sec. 65(b) of R.A. No. 9184 - Employment of schemes to suppress		through two or more persons, corporations, partnerships or any other business entity in which he has interest to create the appearance of competition.
		withdrawal of bids.

	Other violation:	(Specify)
--	------------------	-----------

State the violation and the legal basis

- 3. The penalty is being imposed in connection with the following procurement details:
 - a. [Name of the Project/Contract]
 - b. [Location of Project]
 - c. [ABC for offenses committed during procurement stage or Contract Amount for offenses committed during implementation stage]
- 4. In addition to the foregoing, the following sanctions are also imposed:

[Please check other applicable sanctions]

□ Forfeiture of Bid Security in the amount of PhP [amount of Bid Security].

- □ Forfeiture of Performance Security in the amount of PhP [Bid Security amount] .
- □ Suspension of account in the PhilGEPS website.
- □ Cancellation of account in the PhilGEPS website.
- Denial of registration with PhilGEPS.
- 5. Additional information, if any: [Please specify]

The name/s of the foregoing persons and/or entities shall be posted within three (3) calendar days from the date of effectivity of this Blacklisting Order in the Consolidated Blacklisting Report (CBR) through the Online Blacklisting Portal pursuant to Section 10 of the "Uniform Guidelines for Blacklisting of Manufacturers, Suppliers, Distributors, Contractors and Consultants", *as amended by GPPB Resolution No. 14-2020 dated 25 June 2020.* The names of the Blacklisted Persons/Entity/ies shall be removed upon the lapse of the period of penalty.

Issued this [date of issuance], in [place of issuance].

SO ORDERED.

Name of HoPE/Appellate Authority

Received by:	(Name of Blacklisted Entity's Representative)
Designation:	
Date Received	:

In case of concerns/clarifications, you may contact the agency through:

Address:	
Contact Person:	
Telephone No.:	
E-mail Address:	

GPPB-TSO DMS

Unit 2504 Raffles Corporate Center, F. Ortigas Jr. Road, Ortigas Center, Pasig City, Philippines 1605

Posted on: Date & time:	
Name:	 _
Position.:	

GPPB-TSO DMS