REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD Technical Support Office

Mezzanine 125, Mabini Hall, Malacañang, Manila Telefax Nos. (02) 735-4962; (02) 736-5758

NPM No. 087-2004

June 18, 2004

Mr. VESMINDO M. SANTIAGO Chairman, Bids and Awards Committee Metropolitan Cebu Water District M.C. Briones, P. Burgos St., Cebu City

Re

Matching Privilege of Provincial Bidders under Section 45 of the Implementing Rules and Regulations Part A of Republic Act No. 9184

Dear Mr. Santiago:

This refers to your letter dated June 9, 2004, which we received on June 11, 2004, requesting for guidance on this issue:

Whether or not the matching privilege of provincial bidders under Section 45 of the Implementing Rules and Regulations Part A ("IRR-A") of Republic Act no. 9184 ("R.A. 9184") is applicable to procurement of infrastructure projects regardless of source of funds.

This issue arose in connection with the public bidding being conducted by Metropolitan Cebu Water District ("MCWD") for the upliftment and rehabilitation of its existing office building.

Matching Privilege of Provincial Bidders

Based on the principle of valid classification, the crafters of R.A. 9184 introduced an innovative provision in the law which grants some degree of preference, if not advantage, to provincial based bidders, Section 45 thereof provides:

SEC. 45. Provincial Bidders. – Within five (5) years from the effectivity of this Act, a contractor who participates in the bidding of provincial priority programs and infrastructure projects, whose principal office is within the same province, and who submits the

lowest bid among the provincial bidders which is higher than the lowest bid made by a contractor with principal office outside the said province shall be granted the privilege to match the bid made by the latter: *Provided, however*, That the release of funds for said projects shall be published in a local newspaper with the widest circulation and the website of the DBM, the mechanisms of which shall be spelled-out in the IRR.

However, the foregoing provision, which is further amplified by Section 45.1 of the IRR-A of R.A. 9184 is limited in application. It must be noted that Section 45 of R.A. 9184 is qualified by Section 44 of R.A. 9184 and its IRR-A, to wit:

Section 44. Bidding of Provincial Projects

Priority programs and infrastructure projects funded out of the annual GAA [General Appropriations Act] which are intended for implementation within the province shall be subject to the same public bidding and to the procurement processes prescribed in this IRR-A. For purposes of this Section, Engineering District infrastructure projects and priority programs fully funded by the Government and identified in consultation with the concerned members of Congress, shall constitute "provincial projects" and shall be governed by this Section and Section 45 of this IRR-A.

Considering that the term "provincial projects" is specially defined by law, it follows that the matching privilege for provincial bidders provided under Article XIII of R.A. 9184 and its IRR-A on bidding of provincial projects exclusively applies only to those priority programs and infrastructure projects that are located in engineering districts, funded out of the annual GAA, and are identified in consultation with the concerned members of Congress. Thus, the matching privilege or preference to provincial bidders accorded by law cannot be invoked in all types of government projects located within a province.

While it is true that Section 4 of R.A. 9184 provides that said law shall govern all types of government procurement regardless of source of funds, this general provision is subject to the special provisions of R.A. 9184 and its IRR-A. Evidently, Sections 44-45 are special provisions of the law that has specific application on bidding provincial projects, whereas Section 4 of R.A. 9184 is the proviso providing for the general application of the law. The two must not be confused or interchanged.

In view of the foregoing, we are of the opinion that the matching privilege of provincial bidders stated in Sections 44-45 of R.A. 9184 and its IRR-A finds no application in the MCWD's conduct of public bidding for the rehabilitation of its office building. Apparently, said project is not a "provincial project" as specially defined under Section 44 of the IRR-A of R.A. 9184.

With the foregoing elucidations, we hope that the concerns of your agency were sufficiently addressed. Please note that this opinion is being rendered on the basis of the facts

and particular circumstances as represented. It may not necessarily be applicable upon a different set of facts or circumstances.

We trust that this clarifies matters.

Very truly yours,

JOSE MARTIN C. SYQUIA
Executive Director

/rhb/npm/mcwd/06.18

RESPONSE SHEE	T FOR PHONE	OPINIONS		PhO No	
Data Pagaisrad:	Óa. 11. 04	Date Ca	alled: <i>01</i>	5.15.04	
N	notropolitan Color	Noter District			
Camera Danson / Nist	mbor: Mr Verminds	Soutidae (132) 412	L-13/36 . (∪a	2) 256-3339	
Issue(s) / Request(s):	Apolication of	Section 45 (Ri	ight to match	of provincial bidden	5)
ibbac(b) / Iteques(c)/	177		<u> </u>	·	
					<u> </u>
			5.		
	······				
This section me funded by the of Congress.	Ations provides to	that conjust hose p identified in con	rujects and isultation with	priority programs for the concerned m	embers
of congress.					
					<u>.</u>
					
					<u>. </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u> </u>					
	. 				
			 		
n 1 11 11 -	ha (man - a ned), a l	Hall			
Remarks: Wntten	response topus	147			
Assigned to:	•				
Assigned to:	Μ15				