

APPROVING THE AMENDMENTS TO SECTIONS 5 AND 6 OF THE GUIDELINES ON THE USE OF BID SECURING DECLARATION

WHEREAS, Section 63.1(b) of Republic Act (R.A.) No. 9184 and its Revised Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) authorize the Government Procurement Policy Board (GPPB) to formulate public procurement policies, rules and regulations, and amend, whenever necessary, the IRR;

WHEREAS, on 27 January 2012, the GPPB issued GPPB Resolution No. 03-2012 dated 27 January 2012, which adopted the use of Bid Securing Declaration (BSD) as a form of Bid Security, in order to enhance competition and bidders' participation, reduce transactional costs, and promote economy in public procurement.

WHEREAS, under Section 3.1 of the Guidelines, BSD is an undertaking by a prospective bidder, committing to pay the corresponding fine and be suspended for a period of time from being disqualified to participate in any government procurement activity in the event it violates any of the conditions stated therein.

WHEREAS, under Section 5 of the Guidelines, BSD shall be enforced if the bidder commits any of the following acts:

- 1. Withdraws its bid during the period of bid validity required in the bidding documents; or
- 2. Fails or refuses to accept the award and enter into contract or perform any and all the act necessary to the execution of the contract, in accordance with the bidding documents, after having been duly notified of the acceptance of its Bid during the period of bid validity.

WHEREAS, the IRR of RA 9184 provides for other instances when a bid security may be forfeited, to wit:

- 1. Failure to enter into a joint venture in the event of a contract award, shall be ground for the forfeiture of the bid security (Sec. 23.1(b), IRR of RA 9184);
- 2. Failure to submit the post-qualification requirements on time or a finding against the veracity of such shall be ground for the forfeiture of the bid security and disqualify the bidder for award (Sec. 34.2, IRR of RA 9184);
- 3. Bidder refuses or is unable to submit the documents required under Section 37.1 of the IRR or to make good its bid by entering into a contract with the procuring entity or post the required Performance Security within the required period (Sec. 40.1, IRR of RA 9184);
- 4. When the bidder commits any of the following:

a. Submission of eligibility requirements containing false information or falsified documents;

b. Submission of Bids that contain false information or falsified documents, or the concealment of such information in the Bids in order to influence the outcome of eligibility screening, or any other stage of the public bidding;

c. Allowing the use of one's name, or using the name of another for purposes of public bidding;

d. Withdrawal of a bid, or refusal to accept an award, or enter into contract with the Government without justifiable cause, after he had been adjudged as having submitted the Lowest Calculated Responsive Bid or Highest Rated Responsive Bid;

e. Refusal or failure to post the required performance security within the prescribed time;

g. Refusal to clarify or validate in writing its bid during postqualification within a period of seven (7) calendar days from receipt of the request for clarification;

h. Any documented attempt by a bidder to unduly influence the outcome of the bidding in his favor;

i. All other acts that tend to defeat the purpose of the competitive bidding, such as habitually withdrawing from bidding, submitting late Bids or patently insufficient bid, for at least three (3) times within a year, except for valid reasons. (Sec. 69.1 and 69.2, IRR of RA 9184)

WHEREAS, Section 69.1(f) of the IRR of RA 9184 refers to the forfeiture of performance security as a result of the termination of contract due to the fault of the bidder;

WHEREAS, aside from the penalty of automatic blacklisting for two (2) years in all government procurement activities, violation of the Bid Securing Declaration shall merit imposition of penalty of a fine in accordance with Section 6(b) of the Guidelines, thus:

1. In case of multiple bidders, the difference between the evaluated bid prices of the bidder with the Lowest Calculated/Highest Rated Bid and the bidder with the next Lowest Calculated/Highest Rated Bid, and so on. However, as regards the bidder with the highest calculated/lowest rated bid, the amount shall be based on the difference between the evaluated bid price and the Approved Budget for the Contract;

2. In case of a single bidder, the difference between the evaluated bid price and the Approved Budget for the Contract.

WHEREAS, during the meeting between the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) and GPPB-Technical Support Office (GPPB-TSO) held on 3 February 2014 regarding DPWH Department Order (D.O) No. 97, the Honorable Secretary Rogelio L. Singson raised his concerns on the efficacy of the BSD, particularly on its fine, *where the*

difference between the bid prices is very trivial or small, or in case of a single bidder, where the difference between its bid and the ABC is zero.

WHEREAS, in the meeting held on 13 June 2014, the Inter Agency Technical Working Group (IATWG) discussed the matter and recommended the following amendments to the Guidelines, to wit:

1. Incorporate the other grounds of forfeiture of bid security provided in the IRR of RA 9184 in Section 5 of the Guidelines;

2. Revise the computation of fine provided in Section 6(b) of the Guidelines, thus:

- a. In case of multiple bidders:
- i. Two Percent (2%) of the Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) or the Difference between the evaluated bid prices of the bidder with the Lowest Calculated/Highest Rated Bid and the bidder with the next Lowest Calculated/Highest Rated Bid, and so on, whichever is HIGHER;
- As regards the bidder with the Highest Calculated/Lowest Rated Bid, the amount shall be Two Percent (2%) of the ABC or the Difference between the evaluated bid price and the ABC, whichever is HIGHER;
- b. In case of a single bidder:

Two Percent (2%) of the ABC or the Difference between the evaluated bid price and the Approved Budget for the Contract, whichever is HIGHER.

3. Include a provision relative to the period within which the bidder has to pay the fine under Section 6 (iii) of the Guidelines;

4. Incorporate the foregoing amendments in the Standard Format of Bid Securing Declaration.

WHEREAS, during the 4th Regular GPPB Meeting on 20 June 2014, the Board discussed the matter, and, after careful deliberation, favorably approved the recommendation of the IATWG. Moreover, violations committed prior to opening of financial envelope shall be meted with a fine equivalent to two percent (2%) of the ABC. The Board emphasized that the imposition of fine is without prejudice to other legal action the government may undertake against the erring bidder;

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the foregoing, WE, the Members of the GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD, by virtue of the powers vested on US by

law, hereby **RESOLVE** to approve and adopt, as **WE** hereby confirm, adopt and approve the following:

1. **AMEND** Sections 5 and 6 of the Guidelines on the Use of Bid Securing Declaration issued on 27 January 2012 as shown in the attached **Annex "A"**;

2. **AMEND** Paragraph 2 of the Standard Format of Bid Securing Declaration issued on as shown in the attached **Annex "B"**.

This Resolution shall take effect after fifteen (15) days following the publication in the Official Gazette or a newspaper of general nationwide circulation and upon filing with the University of the Philippines Law Center of three (3) certified true copies of this Resolution.

APPROVED this 20nd day of June 2014 at Pasig City, Philippines.

(Sgd.) DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT

(Sgd.) DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

(Sgd.) DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND

DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

(Sgd.) DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENSE

(Sgd.)

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(Sgd.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS

(Sgd.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY

PRIVATE SECTOR REPRESENTATIVE

Attested by:

(Sgd.)

DENNIS S. SANTIAGO Board Secretary, GPPB Executive Director, GPPB-TSO

ANNEX "A"



GUIDELINES ON THE USE OF BID SECURING DECLARATION

ORIGINAL	AMENDED
Section 5 GROUNDS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF BID SECURING DECLARATION.	Section 5 GROUNDS FOR ENFORCEMENT OF BID SECURING DECLARATION.
The Bid Securing Declaration shall be enforced when the bidder commits any of the following:a) Withdraws its bid during the period of bid validity required in the bidding documents; or	The Bid Securing Declaration shall be enforced when the bidder commits <u>any act</u> <u>resulting to the forfeiture of bid security</u> <u>under Sections 23.1(b), 34.2, 40.1 and 69.1,</u> <u>except 69.1(f), of the IRR of RA 9184.</u>
b) Fails or refuses to accept the award and enter into contract or perform any and all the act necessary to the execution of the contract, in accordance with the bidding documents, after having been duly notified of the acceptance of its Bid during the period of bid validity.	
Section 6 PENALTIES	Section 6 PENALTIES
Commission of any of the acts mentioned in Section 5 of these Guidelines shall merit the following sanctions:	Commission of any of the acts mentioned in Section 5 of these Guidelines shall merit the following sanctions:
a) Penalty of automatic blacklisting for two (2) years in all government procurement activities;	a) Penalty of automatic blacklisting for two (2) years in all government procurement activities;
b) Payment of fine equivalent to the amount subject to the following rules:	b) Payment of fine equivalent to the amount subject to the following rules:
i) In case of multiple bidders, the difference between the evaluated bid prices of the bidder with the Lowest Calculated/Highest Rated Bid and the bidder with the next Lowest	i) <u>In case of multiple bidders:</u> <u>Two Percent (2%) of the Approved</u> <u>Budget for the Contract (ABC) or</u> <u>the Difference between the evaluated</u>

Calculated/Highest Rated Bid, and so	<u>bid prices of the bidder with the</u>
on. However, as regards the bidder	Lowest Calculated/Highest Rated
with the highest calculated/lowest	Bid and the bidder with the next
rated bid, the amount shall be based	Lowest Calculated/Highest Rated
on the difference between the	<u>Bid, and so on, whichever is</u>
evaluated bid price and the Approved	<u>HIGHER;</u>
Budget for the Contract;	
	<u>As regards the bidder with the</u>
ii) In case of a single bidder, the	Highest Calculated/Lowest Rated
difference between the evaluated bid	<u>Bid, the amount shall be Two</u>
price and the Approved Budget for	Percent (2%) of the ABC or the
the Contract.	Difference between the evaluated bid
	price and the ABC, whichever is
	HIGHER;
	ii) <u>In case of a single bidder:</u>
	/
	Two Percent (2%) of the ABC or the
	Difference between the evaluated bid
	price and the Approved Budget for
	the Contract, whichever is HIGHER.
	iii) <u>Violations committed prior to</u>
	opening of financial envelope:
	<u> </u>
	<u>A fix amount equivalent to two</u>
	percent (2%) of the ABC.
	iv) The bidder shall pay the above-
	mentioned fine within fifteen (15)
	days from receipt of the written
	demand by the procuring entity as a
	result of the violation of the
	conditions in the Bid Securing
	Declaration.
	v) The imposition of the foregoing
	fine is without prejudice to other
	legal action the government may
	undertake against the erring bidder.

ANNEX "B"



STANDARD FORMAT OF BID-SECURING DECLARATION

Paragraph 2 of the Standard Format of Bid Securing Declaration is amended to read as follows: